1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jun 08, 2021

SEAN F. McAVOY, CLERK

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

CHARLES JOSEPH REEVIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

Defendant.

NO: 2:20-CV-00483-RMP

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

By Order filed March 31, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff Charles Joseph Reevis thirty days to voluntarily dismiss this action. ECF No. 8. The Court found that Mr. Reevis's pro se submission, a two-page Standard Form 95 ("SF 95"), filed while he was incarcerated at the Spokane County Corrections Center, did not support a federal tort claim. *Id.* at 4–6. Consequently, Plaintiff's claims were subject to dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. See McNeil v. United States, 508 U.S. 106, 110, 113 (1993).

Plaintiff is currently housed at Comprehensive Health Care - Yakima Competency Restoration, and is proceeding in forma pauperis, but without the ORDER DISMISSING ACTION -- 1

obligation to pay the \$350.00 filing fee for this action. ECF No. 7. Plaintiff did not avail himself of the opportunity to voluntarily dismiss this action.

## Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

- **1.** This action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. Based on the Court's reading of *Hoffmann v. Pulido*, 928 F.3d 1147, 1152 (9th Cir. 2019), this dismissal will NOT count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
- 3. This case is **DISMISSED** and **CLOSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is DIRECTED to enter this Order, provide a copy to Plaintiff and CLOSE the file. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in law or fact.

**DATED** June 8, 2021.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson
ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON
United States District Judge